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What would it take to move the migration agenda forward?

Lots of things are necessary, but let me punctuate the idea that more migration means unmitigated migration – letting one potential migrant in means letting them all in. Very few of us believe that there can be no restrictions on migration, but many of us believe that allowing a finite increase in migration from the developing to the developed world would be to the advantage of both. The threat of unmitigated numbers of migrants is a powerful argument in terms of political rhetoric, but it is a complete red-herring in the debate about actual policy-making and we should confront those who make it.

It is possible to devise reasonable and humane methods of managing migration at rates above zero. I would include well-designed temporary migration programmes (seasonal and guest worker schemes) among such methods. The view that such schemes will inevitably lead to large-scale over-staying is just wrong: the vast majority of temporary entrants will accept that departure is part of the package and will welcome the chance to earn and learn in the meantime. (After all, think how many wish to move to the Gulf despite the unattractive conditions there.)

There is no argument that even if migration offers great benefits to the migrant, it offers nothing, or even harm, to those left behind. Even if this were true, that is no different from the hospital or a school which only has a limited demand (as Michael Clemens has observed), but in fact it is not true. Migrants earn and many also return with more capital, connections and capacities, either because they wanted to or because they were admitted under a temporary migration/mobility scheme in the first place. Moreover, what is wrong with helping some people to better life? The evidence that the loss of skilled workers harms those left behind is not particularly convincing and, anyway, we don’t believe in persevering personal morality merely for the sake of others.

What existing notion or belief do you think we need to shake off?

My key suggestion would be to accentuate the idea that migration is an entirely normal piece of human behaviour. It is not special or abnormal, but since the beginning of time people have moved to make the most of these circumstances. This is not to say that migration is comfortable or even desirable, but that it is – or is expected to be – better than the alternative. Communities sometimes resist incomers, but if one looks across societies, it is those that accommodate people from outside that evolve and prosper.
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